

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

11 ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado
12 corporation; ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a
13 Delaware corporation; and ORACLE
INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a
California corporation,

14 Plaintiffs,

15 v.

16 RIMINI STREET, INC., et al.,

17 Defendants.
18
19

CASE NO. 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-VCF

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
RIMINI STREET, INC.'S MOTION
TO SEAL PORTIONS OF RESPONSE
TO ORACLE'S OBJECTION TO
MAGISTRATE JUDGE
FERENBACH'S REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATION ON
ORACLE'S MOTION FOR
SANCTIONS PURSUANT TO RULE
37**

20 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

21 Pending before this Court is Defendant Rimini Street, Inc.'s ("Rimini") Motion to Seal
22 Portions of Its Response to Oracle's Objection to Magistrate Judge Ferenbach's Report and
23 Recommendation on Oracle's Motion for Sanctions Pursuant to Rule 37 and Supporting
24 Document ("Motion to Seal"). Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) provides broad discretion
25 for a trial court to permit sealing of court documents for, inter alia, the protection of "a trade
26 secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information." Fed. R. Civ.
27 P. 26(c). Having considered Rimini's Motion to Seal and good cause existing:
28

1 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT: Rimini's Motion to Seal is GRANTED. The Clerk
2 of the Court shall file under seal Rimini's Response.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4
5 Dated: _____

6
7 _____
8 Hon. Larry R. Hicks
9 United States District Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28